

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/019,992	05/06/2002	Marc Saelen	10541-930	9884	
<sup>29074</sup> VISTEON	7590 02/12/200	7	EXAMINER		
C/O BRINKS I PO BOX 10395	HOFER GILSON & LI	PETERSON,	PETERSON, KENNETH E		
CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/019,992	SAELEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kenneth E. Peterson	3724	

Bororo are ranny or an rappour Brior	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They have not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rejo	ected claims.					
NOTE: the amendments are new issues. (See 37	` ',						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23 and 24. Claim(s) objected to: 29 and 30. Claim(s) rejected: 19-22,25-28,31 and 32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ul>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ir or the status or the claims after er	illy is below or attach	icu.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
<del>-</del>	n/e	Z					
•	KENI PR	NETH E. PETERSON IMARY EXAMINER					